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• History

– The Penguins field was developed from 2002 with 4 producers and has since had a further 5 development wells drilled (currently 9 producers).

– Field development options were evaluated and the most economic solution was a 65km tie-back to the Brent Charlie platform.

– No gas lift required initially, but anticipated in the well design.

– Gas lift supply options were screened in 2005 and it was decided to install a 65km gas lift line back to Brent Charlie.
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• Completion

  – Cemented and perforated 6 5/8” liner
  – 5 ½” tubing down to the packer
  – 4 ½” tubing below packer c/w TCP guns hung off bottom
  – 1 x GLM with shear orifice valve
  – 2 x P/T gauges mandrel
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• Subsurface
  – Penguin A: Black Oil
    2 Independent compartments;
    GOR 750 scf/bbl in south & 1500 scf/bbl in north. API 37 to 41 for both.
  – Penguin C: Black Oil
    GOR 1000 – 1400 scf/bbl. API 36 – 40.
  – Penguin D; Light Oil in north
    GOR 1900 scf/bbl, and heavy gas / retrograde condensate in south
    CGR 280 bbl/ MMscf
  – Penguin E; heavy gas / retrograde condensate CGR 210 bbl/MMscf
Penguins Gas Lift – System Limitations

Single commingled production pipeline

- Fluctuations in line pressure affect all wells
- Gas lift improves individual well performance but can have adverse effect on the system production
- Due to the absence of functional well specific flowmeters, well testing MUST be carried out using a “Testing By Difference” method although Geochemical fingerprinting is used in combination

Single commingled gas lift line

- Total GL flowrate and line pressure controlled by topsides compressor settings
- Line pressure governed by the well requiring highest pressure
- Individual well GL flowrates controlled by gas lift choke at the xmas tree
- Pre-installed GL venturi flowmeters unreliable, fallback is using the gas choke dP
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Equipment integrity

- Pressure and temperature limits of the system
- High pressure “surge” on the annulus translates into extremely high liquid velocities through the orifice which would damage the valve
- Temperature drop from large dP (~ Joule Thomson effect) is a big issue!
- Seabed ambient temperature ~ 5DegC

Flow Assurance

- Due to very long line (65km), flow assurance is extremely critical (hydrate risk)
- Gas lift specifications
  - Stripping gas introduced to TEG regeneration system, gas dewpoint down to –29.4 degC
  - In addition, methanol is spiked into the gas prior to sending down the line
  - OLGA modelling used to predict potential scenarios
  - Operational procedures changed to avoid problems
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OLGA Modelling used for:

- Optimising the commissioning process within the limits of system
- Mitigating threats to system integrity
  - Velocities of liquid through orifice
  - Low Temperature limits due to Joule Thomson effects
  - Pressure surges into the production line resulting in large slugs arriving at platform
- Modelling Gas Lift Line Clearing
  - Determine most effective/efficient means of clearing MEG
  - Answer question: Low rate initially (plug flow) then high rate to sweep line OR high rate directly?
- Well A-annulus unloading
  - OLGA used iteratively to obtain optimum unloading procedure
  - Predicts temperatures, pressures and velocities through the system
  - Obtain best starting gas lift line pressure for each well, rate of increase in gas lift rate etc.
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Simplified models used for the different cases

- Wells that are not directly involved in the transient modelling are set up as sources
- Hence, a different model is used for each scenario
- This reduced run times from days to hours, hence large time saving in event of several re-runs

Fully detailed procedures instructing onsite personnel

- Commission the gas lift by clearing the MEG with liftgas
- Clear gas lift line via furthest away drill centre using XOV of A2 well in order that the entire line is cleared initially
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- Fully detailed procedures instructing onsite personnel
  - Unload each of the 5 wells individually in a controlled manner to ensure no damage to downhole equipment
  - Include expected pressure and temperature responses, and durations of each stage of commissioning based on OLGA simulations
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• Training of onsite personnel
  – General gas lift theory
  – General subsea equipment and field specific training
  – Gas lift commissioning training, walk through procedures and what to expect

• Use of PI Processbook (real-time data trending tool)
  – The use of PI processbook was extremely important to
    • Keep track of the ongoing commissioning
    • Determine any requirements for changing of procedure “real-time”
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• Benefits
  – Original economic approval of project was based on well kick-off only!
    • As wells depleted they required lower and lower pipeline pressure for kick-off and also for continuous flow
    • As project final execution was approximately 6 months after the original plan, wells had depleted further and now some are unable to flow without lift assistance! THEY NEED CONTINUOUS GAS LIFT
  – Continuous gas lift for one well possible?
    • C2 no longer flows naturally, A2 had struggled on occasion
    • By gas lifting C2, the slight increase in pipeline pressure kills A2
    • By then also gas lifting A2 the pipeline pressure increases further and kills A1, then……etc. ….C1 & C3
  – Hence, continuous gas lift is now required on ALL 5 wells, NOT just to flow C2!
  – Increase in liquid production of some 15 – 25% !!!
  – No increment in gas production due to backout of gassier wells
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- Compromises

- More gas lift gas better for wells, worse for pipeline!

![Graph showing Well PQ curves and Flowline PQ curves for different GLRs (GLR=100, GLR=200, GLR=400). The graph plots Subsea Manifold Pressure against Liquid Flow.]
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- **Prosper / GAP optimisation**
  - Testing by difference (TBD) now much more practical, feasible and justifiable
  - In fact TBD is essential to optimise field production!
  - Essentially due to gas lift assistance of “weak” oil wells, gas wells that have been choked back by some +50 barg (725 psig) may be opened up further!
  - Pipeline operating pressure not limited to ~40 barg (580 psig) (as high as practicably possible) to maintain oil wells flowing
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- Modification of the existing recip compressor to reduce throughput was not straight forward (from 6 to 2 pistons !)
- Various initial leaks in topsides piping within compressor vicinity
- Strainer “blockages” clearing and filling-up lasted longer than anticipated, several strainer replacements/cleanouts required
- Gas lift riser “guides” had issues with slackening off due to creep of the material
- Well A1 subsea manual isolation valve had NOT been opened by DSV during initial commissioning
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• Gas lifting at extremely long distances (65km) is possible and very beneficial
• Correct gas lift specifications and methanol dosage MUST be planned and used
  – Avoiding hydrates always better than dealing with them!
• Use of OLGA was very useful and is recommended for future similar projects
• Use of shear valves is good in theory but care must be taken so that there is sufficient differential pressure available to shear the valve!
  – Valves can leak over time and liquid in A-annulus can go to the tubing over time (vacuum in annulus!)
  – Hence, this MAY be an integrity issue where MinAP is required
• Although initial planning for GL was for kick-off, continuous GL proves highly beneficial!
• Production optimisation model such as Prosper / GAP is required for such complex system
• Ultimate recovery of field does require continuous gas lift
  – Hence good upfront investment when completing the wells to account for future GL
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